BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

will face this body in the days ahead. The article is an appraisal of President Kennedy's trade ideas. Bill White's sugsation is that there is no place for petty sailt anship in the consultations, delib rations, and controversies which will Brise over the new approach to our trade problems.) I believe his article warrants coninting in its entirety in the Concressional Record, and Task unanimous consent that that be done

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD

de tellows

PARISE NEEDS AN AGOT P APPROACH APPRAISAL OR KENNEDY'S TRADE IDEAS IS NO PLACE POR Dr. of Partiannian

Br William S. White)

The great, prices ue of this year-and of many years to come has now at the 's President Kennedy's long message

or Cangress asking for unexampled presidenthat cuttofity to cut tariffs in vast sweeps. The central purpose is to associate this Nadon with the six-nation European Con. ann Muser and so to enter a new world or im-monsely enlarged trade with all its opporand all its possible trivis

For to, ur has struck for bigness-for big ist big debutes among big-nanded 2-5 en. r is much too late now for littletirile toess, for little disputes a little-minded men, for petty partii on and conservative," for frantic while he over who he a Democrat and who all ath.

that this is not a Republican Issue, not is tuna characteriste. This is an all-American this is not something to be resolved a -int manifestos. This is not to be general as shough it were a public hoasing one mere good guy-bad guy contest-profittibly as at band for the most he will of the west adult, the most respecial continual debate we have known chape World War II. And the duty as well softle opportunity, for just such a debate is glso at hand.

Mr. Reunedy has massively influential ness. from the most articulate, gonerally, of the private voices of this country, from such outstanding Republicans as Dwight D. Liseuhower and former Secretary of State

Christian Hetter,

the opposition, actual and latent, is more dispersed and, on the whole, less blessed with connes It is however, a formidable and honest opposition which is entitled to be beard in full respect and understanding. For it is no good dailying that this plan will work some scattered hardship, among conscience and industries which have thus for remained economically going concerns only through the assistance of tariff protec-

it is also no good denying that the vast as jobs out upon which we propose to embork wil raise new problems; economic problems of kinds with which we have not re deil. It is also to good denying that the therepean end, at least of this proposed new trade association, the Common Market. themately will find itself facing poignant me political problems too. For in Europe sample for trade will reach more and s toward political quion as well. In the will come some undentable loss or diluof individual national sovereignty

w, in all the circumstances, it is concole that President Kennedy could sim-bulldage his bill through Congress, the parter and prestige of his forces. eld not wisely do this, however. For

this is a historic and capital matter entitled to the most carnest and scarching scrutiny by Congress and by every responsible adult in this country.

This correspondent, for one, does not hesitate to say that he is for the plan. All his life he has believed that freer world trade would cure most of the world's troubles, Moreover the enormous Western trade groupworld so strong as to make a farce of Khrushchev's threat to burn" that world by his own slave cannon's by his own sieve comomy.

But let the protectionists be heard to the end-again, heard in full respect and understanding. For this great national decision will be no good and will not endure unless it has been reached at last in a true, and an informed national consent.

CASTRO AND CASTROISM

Mr. McGEE. Mr. President, there appears in the Washington Post of today an article in which Walter Lippmann takes his usual objective view of a very controversial and troublesome question now in our midst; namely, that of Castro and Castrolsm. In the article Mr Lippmann utters a word of caution to those who would act impetuously, particularly as they react to the atsitude of some of our neighbors to the south on one Castro question. It is Mr. Lippniann's view that we should be a little more tolcrant and a little more understanding of the caution exhibited by some of our friends to the south of us. I believe that the entire article warrants reprinting in the CONCRESSIONAL RECORD, and I ask unanimous consent that that be done.

There being on objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

CASTRO AND CAS ROP'1

(By Waiter Lippmanu)

The Castro problem is how to deal with a hostlie regime without using mill'ary force to overthrow it. The Foreign Ministers at Punta del Este have been accking the beginning of a solution for that problem Castro has no arowed and quite certainly no genuine sympathizers and supporters among the Covernments of the American Republics. But there has been an important division of view as to what it is wise and expedient to do about him.

The division, as we have learned, is between the Republics which lie on the shores of the Caribbean facing Cuba and, with the rather special exception of Mexico, the big countries of South America which are a long way by sea or land from the troubled Carib-

I would venture a guess that this geography explains the theoretical differences between the so-called soft and hard positions at the Conference. The Caribbean countries which have taken the hard line, are physically within reach of Cuba. The distances sea and air are fairly short, and it is rather casy for Castro's revolutionists to infiltrate countries around the Caribbean, to do gun running to local rebel bands among

But the big South American countries, which are separated from Cuba in the Caribbean by the Andes Mountains, the jungles and the great hump of Brazil, are not directly threatened by armed intervention For them the danger of Castro comes primarily from his legend as the Robin Hood who has robbed the rich to help the poor.

Castro does send propaganda and agitarors into southern South America. He uses dipiomatic facilities if he has diplomatic relations and if not borrows the facilities of European and Asian nations which are sympathetic with him. But all this activity of little consequence as compared with the legend of Castroian, the legend that Castroia the friend of the poor.

is the friend of the poor.

The soft group of governments have acted as they have acted not because they want to help seatro, and not because they want to help seatro, and not because they are afraid to anger him but because they know that legends are not destroyed by strong adjectives. The legend would not be discoved by breaking diplomatic relations and driving Castro entirely into the underground. The legend would not be destroyed by sconomic embarkors especially since Cuba by economic embargore especially since Coba-has no important trade with Latin america

From our point of view it would have been a calamity it we had forced the laue to a point where with the backing of the weakest part of Latin America we overrode the views of the strongest part. It would have been a calamity to win such a victory because it would have split the inter-American system, with twice as many Latin Americans op-

1

What we really needed, and perhaps have gotten, is that a preponderant majority of our American neighbors state clearly that Oastro and Castroian are hostile to the inter-American system. When that is achieved, the practical question of what to do about Castro is not a matter of words or of sanctions. It is a matter of coordinated and consist is a matter of coordinated and cooperative counterespionage in this hemisphere. That must be largely a secret operation in order to identify and frustrate subversive agents. It cannot be done with a brass band and a television camera but only by close working arrangements among the Governments.

Effective counterespionage can deal with Castro's interventions in this hemisphere. It will not and cannot deal with his legend, with Castroism. Counterespionage will not eave the corrupt dictatorships that still icmain. It will not save the incompetent democracies. And while there must be counterespionage to make sure Castro minds his own business in Cuba, it is no substitute for doing what the alliance for progress has promised to du.

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLI-GENCE

The Senate resumed the consideration of the nomination of John A. McCone, of California, to be Director of Central Intelligence.

Mr. MANSFIELD Mr. President, I have been listening with much interest to the debate on the question of confirmation of the nomination of John A. McCone to be Director of Central Intelligence.

I realize that this position is a highly sensitive and most difficult one. I do not know Mr. McCone intimately but I do know him to a degree; and I have observed his service as an Under Secretary for the Air Force, in a Demo-cratic administration; as Chairman of the Joint Commission on Atomic Energy. under a Republican administration; and as the appointed under the Democratic administration of President Kennedy to be head of the Central Intelligence Agency—the nomination which the Senate is now called upon to consider, and about which it must reach a decision.

Mr. McCone has proved to be a most efficient, effective, and patriotic servant of this Government. He has served in positions of great trust and responsibility, and he has executed his duties

faithfully and well.